

### **Journal of Current Veterinary Research**

ISSN: 2636-4026

Journal home page: http://www.jcvr.journals.ekb.eg

### Internal medicine & Infectious disease

### Clinical, Epidemiological, Bacteriological and Antimicrobial Resistance Studies on Bovine Mastitis in Menoufia Governorate

Kareem A. Elhossary<sup>1</sup>, Ahmed Elsify<sup>2</sup>, Mohamed Nayel<sup>2</sup>, Akram Salama<sup>2</sup>, Walid Mousa<sup>2</sup>, May Dawood<sup>2</sup>, Eman M. Sharaf<sup>1</sup> and Ahmed Zaghawa<sup>2</sup>\*

- (1) Bacteriology, Immunology and Mycology Department, Animal Health Research Institute, Shebin EL-Kom, Menoufia (ARC), Egypt.
- (2) Department of Animal Medicine and Infectious Diseases. Faculty of Veterinary Medicine. University of Sadat City. Sadat City. Menoufia, Egypt.

\*Corresponding author: <a href="mailto:ahmed.zaghawa@vet.usc.edu.eg">ahmed.zaghawa@vet.usc.edu.eg</a> Received: 12/1/2025
Accepted: 15/3/2025

### **ABSTRACT**

Bovine mastitis globally is considered one of the most important diseases within dairy herds, mainly due to the associated economic losses. Mastitis can be classified as contagious and environmental mastitis. Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, and Klebsiella pneumoniae are the most common pathogens associated with mastitis cases. In this study, risk factors affecting the prevalence rate of clinical and subclinical mastitis were studied in 300 dairy cows. The clinical examination of 300 cows from El-Menoufia governorate revealed that 150 and 90 cows showed the clinical signs of acute and chronic mastitis, respectively. On the other hand, 60 cows showed no clinical signs and were positive in CMT and classified as subclinical mastitis. Bacteriological examination of 300 mastitis milk samples showed that 210 samples were positive in bacteriological culture (70 %). Staphylococcus aureus isolates are the most prevalent in clinical and subclinical mastitis cases. Sixty-two isolates were obtained from acute mastitis, 40 isolates from chronic mastitis, and 24 isolates from subclinical mastitis. On the other hand, 66 isolates of E. coli were obtained (52 isolates from acute mastitis, 11 isolates from chronic mastitis, and 3 from subclinical mastitis). The least causative agent isolates were *Klebsiella* species (a total of 18 isolates, from which 6 isolates were from acute mastitis, 9 isolates from chronic mastitis, and 3 from subclinical mastitis). Risk factor analysis showed that the El-Bagour region was the highest area affected by mastitis. The bacterial isolation percentages in relation to the stage of lactation showed the highest percentage at early lactation (31%), while the percentage of middle stage of lactation (22%), late stage of lactation (13%), and at the dry period (4%). From different breeds, the mixed breed was highly affected. The detection of antimicrobial resistance of the different isolates had been carried out in this study revealed that. among the randomly selected 126 Staphylococcus aureus isolates, showed high resistance to penicillin G, 66.6%, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim 57.1%,

gentamicin 53.9%, chloramphenicol 51.5%. The highest rate of sensitivity was observed for spiramycin 80.1%, norfloxacin 59.5%, amoxicillin + clavulinic acid 57.1%, and ciprofloxacin 53.9%. Among the randomly selected 66 *E. coli* isolates, showed resistance to penicillin G by 86.3%, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim 57.5%, Tetracycline 56.06%. The highest rate of sensitivity observed was to Ciprofloxacin 84.8%, Norfloxacin 80.3%, Spiramycin 69.6%, chloramphenicol 62.1%, and amoxicillin+clavulinic acid 53%. On the other hand, among the randomly selected 18 *Klebsiella species*, these isolates showed resistance to amoxicillin + clavulinic acid 77.7%, Penicillin 72.2%, Spiramycin 72.2%, and Tetracycline 66.6%. The highest rate of sensitivity was observed for sulfamethoxazole/ trimethoprim, 72.2%, gentamycin, 61.1%, and Norfloxacin, 66.6%.

**Keywords:** Bovine mastitis, antimicrobial resistance, *S. aureus, Klebsiella, E. coli*, and Risk factors

#### INTRODUCTION

Bovine mastitis is defined as the inflammation of the mammary glands and is one of the most critical diseases affecting dairy herds worldwide. Its significant economic impact results not only from decreased milk production but also from increased culling rates (Sharun et al., 2021). Mastitis is primarily classified based on clinical presentation into clinical and subclinical forms, and etiologically into infectious and noninfectious causes. Infectious agents, particularly bacteria, are the most prevalent causative factors. These bacterial pathogens are further categorized as contagious, environmental, or opportunistic bacteria (Ndahetuye et al., 2019).

Multiple predisposing factors influence the incidence and prevalence of mastitis, including animal age, lactation stage, milking hygiene, environmental conditions, nutrition, and genetics. Around the calving period, highproducing dairy cows are particularly susceptible to environmental stressors related to housing, hygiene, and feeding, which may significantly increase disease occurrence early in lactation

adversely affect subsequent milk yield (Stanek et al., 2024). Additional risk factors include parity number, breed, and management practices. Notably, poor hygiene is significantly correlated with higher mastitis prevalence, highlighting the importance of improved hygiene and farm management to reduce infection rates (Djeddi et al., 2025).

Host-related risk factors encompass lactation stage, number of lactations, genetic makeup, peripartum-associated diseases, other health conditions such as lameness, breed differences, milk yield, spontaneous milk leakage between milkings, dry period management, and overall cleanliness of the Mastitis environment. incidence highest immediately after parturition and during early lactation. The prevalence also increases with successive lactations, associated with elevated somatic cell counts and higher culling rates. Genomic selection has emerged as a promising tool over the past decade to enhance herd health and performance selecting for traits such as production, body conformation, somatic cell score, fertility, longevity, and health indices (Hisira et al., 2025).

Several studies identify *Staphylococcus* aureus, *Streptococcus* spp., *Escherichia* coli, and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* as the most common mastitis pathogens (Klaas & Zadoks, 2018; Ashraf & Imran, 2020; Cadona-Hernandez et al., 2021). Notably, some clinical *E. coli* mastitis cases observed during lactation result from infections acquired during the dry period, emphasizing this phase as critical for controlling *E. coli* mastitis (Berry & Hillerton, 2007).

Moreover, antimicrobial resistance has become an escalating global threat due to the widespread dissemination of resistance mechanisms. Conventional antibiotic treatments often lead to the emergence of resistant strains (Peng et al., 2022). Resistance to commonly used antimicrobials such penicillin, as amoxicillin. tetracycline, amikacin. gentamicin, and erythromycin has been extensively documented. Alarmingly, resistance newer antibiotics ceftazidime. including piperacillin, cefquinome, tigecycline, colistin, and vancomycin—is also on the rise. Additionally, concerns regarding antibiotic residues in milk are increasing (Bonardi et al., 2023).

Therefore, the aim of this study is to assess the prevalence of bovine mastitis in Menoufia Governorate, identify the causative bacterial agents, evaluate their antimicrobial susceptibility, and analyze the associated risk factors contributing to mastitis occurrence.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Area of Study:

This study was conducted in nine centers of Menoufia Governorate: Shebeen El-Khoom, Menouf, Ashmoon, El-Bagoor, Berket El-Sabaa, El-Shohada, Quasna, Tala, and El-Sadat. Menoufia Governorate is located in the middle of the Nile Delta, bordered by El-Behera to the northwest, El-Kaliobia to the east, and Giza Province to the south. It covers an area of approximately 2,543.03 km<sup>2</sup>.

### **Animal examination:**

The study focused on dairy cows from various locations within Menoufia Governorate. Clinical examination followed the protocol described by Radostitis et al. (2007). The udder was visually inspected from behind, and the two hind quarters were examined for symmetry before and after milking. Abnormalities udder size in consistency were assessed through palpation and inspection to detect signs of fibrosis, inflammatory swelling, or atrophy of mammary tissues. quarters, including the milk cistern, supramammary lymph nodes, and teats, were palpated. Systemic signs such as fever, general depression, and anorexia were recorded. Housing conditions in the dairy farms varied; bedding materials included dust, sand, and rough concrete cement, with some farms having wet, muddy bedding and others dry bedding. Nutrition consisted mainly concentrated feed, green fodder, and silage.

### Sampling:

Milk samples were randomly collected from bovine farms in El-Menoufia Governorate presenting cases of clinical and subclinical mastitis. A total of 300 milk samples were obtained. Ten milliliters of milk were aseptically collected into sterile tubes, kept on ice, and transported immediately to the laboratory for bacteriological examination. Samples were collected from all four udder quarters.

### California Mastitis Test (CMT):

Milk samples were screened for subclinical mastitis using the California Mastitis Test, following the method described by Schalm et al. (1971). The CMT reagent was procured from Kerbal® Company, Germany.

### <u>Bacteriological Isolation and</u> Identification (Quinn et al., 2002):

Approximately 0.01 mL of each milk sample was cultured on different bacteriological media. including MacConkey agar, EMB agar, Paired Barker agar, and Mannitol salt agar. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. Suspected colonies were examined for morphological characteristics, such as colony size, shape, color, pigment production, texture (smooth or rough), and lactose fermentation on MacConkey agar. Gram staining was performed on bacterial smears for microscopic examination. Biochemical identification followed the guidelines of Quinn et al. (2002). All culture media were supplied by Oxoid® Ltd., Basingstoke, UK.

### <u>Antibacterial Sensitivity Test (ClSI, 2024):</u>

The antibiotic susceptibility of the predominant bacterial isolates (*E. coli*, *S. aureus*, and *Klebsiella* spp.) was assessed using the disk diffusion method, according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2024) standards. Commercial antibiotic disks from Hi Media Laboratories Pvt.

Ltd., India, and Oxoid® were used. Antibiotics tested included Amoxicillin-Clavulanic acid (20  $\mu$ g), Penicillin (20  $\mu$ g), Ciprofloxacin (20  $\mu$ g), Gentamicin (10  $\mu$ g), Streptomycin (10  $\mu$ g), Erythromycin (20  $\mu$ g), Norfloxacin (20  $\mu$ g), Chloramphenicol (10  $\mu$ g), and Tetracycline (20  $\mu$ g).

### Statistical Analysis

Prior to analysis, data were screened for outliers and missing values. No data were excluded due to unlikely values. Records with missing variables were excluded from analyses involving those variables. Statistical significance was set at p = 0.05. The distribution of intramammary infections (IMI) across herds was evaluated. Multivariate analysis was conducted using SPSS® software to examine interactions between the various factors studied.

### RESULTS

### <u>Percentage of different types of mastitis</u> <u>among examined animals in El-</u> <u>Menoufia Governorate:</u>

Among the 300 cows diagnosed with mastitis in El-Menoufia Governorate. clinical examination revealed that 150 cows (50%) exhibited signs consistent with acute mastitis, while 90 cows (30%) showed clinical signs indicative of chronic mastitis. The remaining 60 cows (20%) displayed no visible clinical signs but tested positive using the California Mastitis Test (CMT) and were therefore classified cases as of subclinical mastitis (Table 1).

**Table (1):** Percentage of different types of mastitis among examined cows in El-Menoufia Governorate:

Total examination of cattle	Types of mastitis	No	%
Clinical mastitis	Acute clinical Mastitis	150	50%
	Chronic clinical mastitis	90	30%
Subclinical mastitis	•	60	20%
Total		300	

The acute form of mastitis was characterized by systemic signs including fever, anorexia, and depression, along with marked local changes in the affected udder quarter such as heat, swelling, pain, and redness. Milk from affected quarters showed visible abnormalities, appearing reddish, yellowish, thick, caseated, or viscid. In contrast, chronic mastitis presented without systemic signs but exhibited local changes such as fibrosis, induration, hardness, and atrophy of the udder tissue. Milk from these cases was typically scant and watery, often containing flakes. Subclinical mastitis cases were identified using the California Mastitis Test (CMT), usually after the owner reported a noticeable decline in milk yield.

## <u>Percentage of S. aureus, E. coli and Klebsiella spp. causing clinical and subclinical bovine mastitis:</u>

Out of 300 mastitic milk samples examined bacteriologically, 210 samples vielded positive cultures, while 90 samples (30%) showed bacterial growth. no Staphylococcus aureus was the most frequently isolated pathogen in both clinical and subclinical mastitis cases, with 62 isolates from acute mastitis, 40 from chronic mastitis, and 24 from subclinical mastitis. This was followed by Escherichia coli, which was identified in 66 cases—52 from acute mastitis, 11 from chronic, and 3 from subclinical cases. Klebsiella species were isolated in 18 samples, including 6 from acute mastitis, 9 from chronic mastitis, and 3 from subclinical mastitis (Table 2).

**Table (2):** Percentage of *S. aureus, E-coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. isolated from mastitic milk.

Isolates		mastitis =150)	Chronic (No:		Subclinical mastitis (No=60)		
	No	No % No		%	No	%	
S. aureus	62	20.6%	40	13.3%	24	8%	
E.coli	52	17.3 %	11	3.6%	3	1%	
Klebsiella species	6	2%	9	3%	3	1%	
Total	120	40%	60	20%	30	10%	
$X^2*$	59.93		38.7		35.28		
P value	< 0.001		< (	0.001.	< 0.001		

<sup>\*</sup>X<sup>2</sup>: The chi-square showed a significant correlation between the types of isolated bacteria and mastitis type.

## Effect of locality as a risk factor affecting the percentage of mastitis in El-Menoufia Governorate.

A total of 300 mastitic milk samples were collected from cows across nine different centers in El-Menoufia Governorate to evaluate the effect of locality as a risk factor for mastitis. Bacteriological examination revealed varying rates of clinical and subclinical mastitis across locations. The distribution was as follows: El-Bagour (34 clinical, 16 subclinical), Ashmoun (32

clinical, 13 subclinical), Menouf (36 clinical, 14 subclinical), Shebin El-Kom (37 clinical, 8 subclinical), El-Sadat City (22 clinical, 8 subclinical), Berket El-Sabaa (9 clinical, 11 subclinical), Tala (12 clinical, 13 subclinical), El-Shohada (13 clinical, 2 subclinical), and Qwiesna (15 clinical, 5 subclinical). Statistical analysis using the chi-square test yielded a value of 11.65 with a p-value of 0.020, indicating a statistically significant association ( $p \le 0.05$ ) between locality and the type of mastitis diagnosed (Table 3).

**Table (3):** Effect of locality as a risk factor affecting the percentage of mastitis in El-Menoufia Governorate.

Region*	No. of + samples	0/0	Clinical mastitis	0/0	Subclinical mastitis	%
1- El-Bagour	50	16.6%	34	11.3%	16	5.3%
2- Ashmoun	45	15 %	32	10.6%	13	4.3%
3- Menouf	50	16.6%	36	12%	14	4.6%
4- Shebin El-kom	45	15%	37	12.3%	8	2.6%
5- El-Sadat city	30	10%	22	7.3%	8	2.6%
6- Brekit El-Sabaa	20	6.6%	9	3%	11	3.6%
7- Tala	25	8.3%	12	4%	13	4.3%
8- El-Shohada	15	5%	13	4.3%	2	0.6%
9- Qwiesna	20	6.6%	15	5%	5	1.6%
Total	300		210		90	

<sup>\*</sup>The chi-square statistic is 11.651235. The *p*-value is p = .020142. Result significant at  $p \le .05$ .

## The percentage of bacterial strains in relation to different centers in El-Menoufia Governorate.

The distribution of bacterial mastitis cases across various centers in El-Menoufia Governorate was analyzed based on the identified pathogens. In El-Bagour, 29 cases were positive for *S. aureus* and 11 for *E. coli*. In Ashmoun, there were 21 cases of *S. aureus*, 8 of *E. coli*, and 3 of *Klebsiella* species. Menouf reported 25 *S. aureus*, 16

E. coli, and 5 Klebsiella isolates. In Shebin El-Kom, 24 cases were due to S. aureus, 11 to E. coli, and 2 to Klebsiella. El-Sadat City showed 12 S. aureus, 8 E. coli, and 2 Klebsiella cases. Berket El-Sabaa had 5 S. aureus, 3 E. coli, and 1 Klebsiella case. In Tala, there was 1 case of S. aureus and 5 of E. coli. El-Shohada reported 6 S. aureus and 2 Klebsiella cases, while Qwiesna had 3 S. aureus, 4 E. coli, and 3 Klebsiella isolates (Table 4).

**Table (4):** Percentage of each bacterial strain in each center of El-Menoufia governorate.

Region	Total Sample No	No .of S. aureus	%	No. of <i>E.coli</i>	%	No of Klebsiella species	%
1- El - Bagour	50	29	9.6%	11	3.6%	0	0%
2- Ashmoun	45	21	7%	8	2.6%	3	1%
3- Menouf	50	25	8.3%	16	5.3%	5	1.6%
4- Shebin El-kom	45	24	8%	11	3.6%	2	0.6%
5- El-Sadat	30	12	4%	8	2.6%	2	0.6%
6- Brekit El –Sabaa	20	5	1.6%	3	1%	1	0.3%
7- Tala	25	1	0.3%	5	1.6%	0	0%
8- El- Shohada	15	6	2%	0	0%	2	0.6%
9- Quewisna	20	3	1%	4	1.3%	3	1%
Total	300	126	42%	66	22%	18	6%

Effect of age of mastitic cows as a risk factor affecting the percentage of bacterial mastitis in El-Menoufia Governorate:

The cows in the study were classified into three age groups. The first group consisted of cows older than 7 years, among which mastitis cases were distributed as 36% acute, 34.4% chronic, and 25% subclinical. The second group included cows aged 5 to 7 years, with 37.3% of cases classified as

acute, 17.7% as chronic, and 55% as subclinical mastitis. The third group, comprising cows aged 2 to 5 years, showed 26.6% acute, 24.4% chronic, and 20% subclinical mastitis cases. These findings indicate that older cows are at a higher risk of developing mastitis. Statistical analysis using the chi-square test yielded a value of 26.48 with a p-value of 0.000025, indicating a highly significant association between age and mastitis incidence ( $p \le 0.05$ ) (Table 5).

**Table (5):** Percentage of different types of mastitis among examined cows in relation to animal age:

Total examination of cattle	No	Age*	Number	%
	150	(2-5) years	40	26.6%
		(5-7) years	56	37.3%
Clinical mastitis		More than 8 years	54	36%
	90	(2-5) years	22	24.4%
		(5-7) years	16	17.7%
		More than 8 years	52	34.4%
Subclinical mastitis		(2-5) years	12	20%
	60	(5-7) years	33	55%
		More than 8 years	15	25%
Total	300		300	

<sup>\*</sup>The chi-square statistic is 26.478461. The *p*-value is p = .000025. The result is significant at  $p \le .05$ .

## Effect of quarter as a risk factor affecting the percentage of bacterial mastitis in El-Menoufia Governorate:

The animals were classified according to the udder quarter affected by mastitis. In the right fore quarter, there were 20 cases of acute mastitis, 11 cases of chronic mastitis, and 13 cases of subclinical mastitis. The left fore quarter showed 24 cases of acute mastitis, 8 chronic, and 7 subclinical. In the right hind quarter, 41 cases of acute mastitis, 23 chronic, and 8 subclinical were recorded. The left hind quarter had 35 cases of acute mastitis, 18 chronic, and 2 subclinical mastitis cases (Table 6).

**Table (6):** Effect of quarter as a risk factor affecting the percentage of bacterial mastitis in El-Menoufia Governorate.

Udder Quarter	<b>Acute Mastitis</b>	<b>Chronic Mastitis</b>	<b>Subclinical Mastitis</b>						
Right Fore Quarter	20	11	13						
Left Fore Quarter	24	8	7						
Right Hind Quarter	41	23	8						
Left Hind Quarter	35	18	2						
$X^2*$		15.70							
P value		.015							

<sup>\*</sup>The chi-square statistic is 15.7048. The p-value is .01543. The result is significant at p < .05.

# Effect of stage of lactation as a risk factor affecting on percentage of mastitic milk samples collected from El-Menoufia Governorate

Group 1 (early lactation), Group 2 (midlactation), Group 3 (late lactation), and Group 4 (dry period). The prevalence of bacterial mastitis in these groups was 31%, 22%, 13%, and 4%, respectively (Table 7).

The sampled animals were categorized into four groups based on their stage of lactation:

**Table (7):** Effect of stage of lactation as a risk factor affecting on percentage of mastitic milk samples collected from El-Menoufia Governorate

Stage of lactation	No. of	No. Of	%
	examined cows	positive	
		samples	
Early stage of the lactation (0- 2 months after	115	93	31
parturition)			
Middle stage of lactation (2-5 months after	92	66	22
parturition)			
Late stage of lactation (5 months until dryness)	55	39	13
Dry period (from 40- 50 days before parturition)	38	12	4
$X^{2}*$		33.33	
P value		< 0.001	

<sup>\*</sup>The chi-square statistic is 33.3359. The *p*-value is < 0.00001. The result is significant at p < .05.\

## Effect of cattle breed as a risk factor affecting the percentage of bacterial mastitis in El-Menoufia Governorate

The effect of cattle breed as a risk factor for bacterial mastitis was evaluated by classifying sampled animals into three

groups: Balady breed (45 mastitic cows), mixed breed (160 mastitic cows), and pure breed (36 mastitic cows). Among these, 90 Balady, 160 mixed, and 50 pure-breed cows were examined for bacterial mastitis (Table 8).

**Table (8):** Effect of cattle breed as a risk factor affecting the percentage of bacterial mastitis in El-Menoufia Governorate.

Cattle breed	No. of examined	No. Of	%				
	cows	positive					
		samples					
Balady breed	90	45	15				
Mixed breed	160	129	43				
Pure breed	50	36	12				
Total	300	210	70				
$X^{2}*$		25.83					
P value	< 0.001						

<sup>\*</sup>The chi-square statistic is 25.8393. The *p*-value is < 0.00001. The result is significant at p < .05.

# Cumulative Impact of Risk Factors on Mastitis Incidence and Bacterial Isolation in Dairy Cows of El-Menoufia Governorate

The combined effects of various risk factors on mastitis incidence were evaluated (Tables 9 and 10). Statistical analysis using ordinary logistic regression revealed significant associations between bacterial isolation and the stage of lactation. Specifically, bacterial isolation was three times more likely in acute mastitis cases compared to chronic or subclinical cases. The stage of lactation also significantly influenced mastitis incidence: the late lactation stage was strongly associated with acute mastitis, whereas the dry period and early lactation stages were predominantly linked to chronic and subclinical mastitis.

Additionally, geographic location significantly affected mastitis incidence, with Tala center exhibiting the highest rate among all surveyed centers. The analysis further showed that bacterial isolation in chronic mastitis was 1.2 times higher than in subclinical mastitis, while in acute mastitis it was 3.68 times greater compared to subclinical cases. Regarding cattle breed, mixed breeds showed a 2.4-fold increase in the likelihood of chronic mastitis compared to subclinical mastitis. The influence of lactation stage on mastitis incidence was also evident: early and mid-lactation stages recorded the highest incidence of subclinical mastitis, whereas both dry and late lactation stages were associated with increased chronic mastitis. Acute mastitis incidence was 1.7 times higher than subclinical mastitis during these stages.

**Table (9):** Analysis of some risk factors associated with bovine mastitis

			95% Wald Confidence Interval		Hypothesis Test				Coı	% Wald nfidence I for Exp(B)
		C4.1			Wald					
Parameter	В	Std. Error	Lower	Upper	Chi- Square	df	Sig.	Exp(B)	Lower	Upper
Threshold [mastitis=1]	.145	.7825	-1.389	1.678	.034	1	.853	1.156	.249	5.357
[mastitis=2]	1.620	.7886	.074	3.165	4.218	1	.040	5.051	1.077	23.696
Bacteria	.991	.2593	.483	1.499	14.612	1	.000	2.694	1.621	4.478
Locality	.081	.0460	009	.171	3.115	1	.078	1.085	.991	1.187
Age	.048	.1840	313	.409	.067	1	.795	1.049	.731	1.505
Quarter	083	.0986	276	.110	.709	1	.400	.920	.759	1.117
Stage	311	.1143	535	087	7.423	1	.006	.732	.585	.916
Breed	.132	.1553	172	.437	.723	1	.395	1.141	.842	1.547
(Scale)	1 <sup>a</sup>									

Dependent Variable: mastitis

Model: (Threshold), bacteria, locality, age, quarter, stage, breed

a. Fixed at the displayed value.

**Table (10):** The effect of some factors in relation to the incidence of mastitis

			Std.					95% Confidence Interval for Exp(B)		
mastitis <sup>a</sup>		В	Error	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)		Upper Bound	
2	Intercept	_								
		2.020	1.217	2.755	1	.097				
	bacteria	.239	.397	.361	1	.548	1.270	.583	2.766	
	Locality	.072	.074	.962	1	.327	1.075	.930	1.242	
	Age	.384	.281	1.860	1	.173	1.468	.845	2.549	
	Quarter	.146	.172	.722	1	.395	1.158	.826	1.622	
	Stage	703	.182	14.941	1	.000	.495	.347	.707	
	Breed	.902	.258	12.225	1	.000	2.465	1.487	4.088	
3	Intercept	_								
		1.770	1.094	2.615	1	.106				
	bacteria	1.304	.366	12.687	1	.000	3.683	1.798	7.548	
	Locality	.105	.068	2.390	1	.122	1.111	.972	1.269	
	Age	.163	.248	.430	1	.512	1.177	.723	1.915	
	Quarter	063	.161	.150	1	.698	.939	.685	1.289	
	Stage	566	.159	12.710	1	.000	.568	.416	.775	
<u></u>	Breed	.561	.229	5.969	1	.015	1.752	1.117	2.746	

a. The reference category is: 1.

### <u>Antimicrobial sensitivity of each bacterial</u> strain isolated from mastitis milk:

126 randomly selected Among Staphylococcus aureus isolates, high resistance rates were observed to penicillin G (66.6%), sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (57.1%),gentamicin (53.9%),chloramphenicol (51.5%). Conversely, the highest sensitivity rates were recorded for spiramycin (80.1%), norfloxacin (59.5%), amoxicillin-clavulanic acid combination (57.1%), and ciprofloxacin (53.9%) (Table 11).

Of the 66 *Escherichia coli* isolates tested, resistance was most notable against penicillin G (86.3%), sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (57.5%),

and tetracycline (56.1%). The greatest sensitivity was observed to ciprofloxacin (84.8%), norfloxacin (80.3%), spiramycin (69.6%), chloramphenicol (62.1%), and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (53%) (Table 12).

Among 18 Klebsiella species isolates, resistance was highest against amoxicillinclavulanic acid (77.7%), penicillin G (72.2%),spiramycin (72.2%),and tetracycline (66.6%). The highest sensitivity rates found for were sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (72.2%),gentamicin (61.1%),norfloxacin and (66.6%) (Table 13).

**Table (11):** Antimicrobial sensitivity test of *S. aureus* isolates recovered from milk samples of cows.

		Sensitive		Intermediate		Resistant	
Antimicrobial agent	Conc	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
amoxicillin+clavulinic acid	Amc	72	57.1%	22	17.4%	32	25.3%
penicillin G	P	14	11.1%	28	22.2%	84	66.6%
Ciprofloxacin	Cip	68	53.9%	32	25.3%	26	20.6%
Gentamicin	CN	24	19.0%	34	26.9%	68	53.9%
sulfamethoxazole/trimethopr	SXT	33	26.1%	21	16.6%	72	57.1%
Spiramycin	S	101	80.1%	15	11.9%	10	7.9%
Norfloxacin	Nor	75	59.5%	21	16.6%	30	23.8%
Chloramphenicol	С	34	26.9%	27	21.4%	65	51.5%
Tetracycline	TE	60	47.6%	25	19.8%	41	32.5%

**Table (12):** Antimicrobial sensitivity test of *E. coli* isolates.

		Sensitive		Intermediate		Resistant	
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Antimicrobial agent	Con						
amoxicillin+clavulinic acid	Amc	35	53%	5	7.57%	26	39.3%
penicillin G	P	7	10.6%	2	3.03%	57	86.3%
Ciprofloxacin	Cip	56	84.8%	5	7.57%	5	7.57%
Gentamicin	CN	50	75.7%	5	7.57%	11	16.6%
sulfamethoxazole/trimethopring	SXT	20	30.3%	8	12.1%	38	57.5%
Spiramycin	S	46	69.6%	5	7.5%	15	22.7%
Norfloxacin	NOR	53	80.3%	4	6.06%	9	13.6%
chloramphenicol	C	41	62.1%	14	21.2%	11	16.6%
Tetracycline	TE	25	37.8%	4	6.06%	37	56.06%

**Table (13):** Antimicrobial sensitivity test of *Klebsiella species* isolates

		Sensitive		Intermediate		Resistant	
Antimicrobial agent	Conc	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
amoxicillin+clavulinic acid	AMc	3	16.6%	1	5.5%	14	77.7%
Penicillin G	P	2	11.1%	3	16.6%	13	72.2%
Ciprofloxacin	Cip	4	22.2%	2	11.1%	12	66.6%
Gentamicin	CN	11	61.1%	2	11.1%	5	27.7%
sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim	SXT	13	72.2%	1	5.5%	4	22.2%
Spiramycin	S	2	11.1%	3	16.6	13	72.2%
Norfloxacin	NOR	12	66.6%	1	5.5%	5	27.7%
Chloramphenicol	С	6	33.3%	2	11.1%	10	55.5%
Tetracycline	TE	4	22.2%	2	11.1%	12	66.6%

#### DISCUSSION

Effective control of mastitis relies heavily on the early detection of inflammation, particularly for subclinical mastitis (SCM), which often goes unnoticed without sensitive diagnostic Timely identification and methods. management of SCM can significantly reduce the disease burden in dairy herds. In this study, 300 mastitic cows from El-Menoufia Governorate were clinically examined. Among them, 150 cows (50%) exhibited acute mastitis signs, 90 cows (30%) showed chronic mastitis, while 60 cows (20%)were asymptomatic but tested positive by the California Mastitis Test (CMT), classifying them as subclinical cases.

The clinical presentation of acute mastitis included systemic signs such as fever, anorexia, and depression, alongside pronounced local udder

inflammation characterized by heat, swelling, pain, and redness. Milk abnormalities such as reddish yellowish discoloration, increased viscosity, and presence of clots were also observed. These findings align with the observations of Sayed and Rady (2008), who described similar acute clinical manifestations including swelling, firmness, and altered milk consistency. Chronic mastitis was characterized udder by fibrosis, induration, hardness, and atrophy, with milk secretion reduced to watery drops containing flakes, but without systemic signs. These observations corroborate Ibrahim's (2017) report on chronic mastitis pathology. Subclinical cases were detected mainly through CMT following owners' complaints of reduced milk yield, consistent with the findings of Abed et al. (2021), who highlighted the economic importance of subclinical mastitis globally.

Bacteriological culture remains the gold standard for pathogen identification in mastitis. Of the 300 milk samples cultured, 210 (70%) yielded positive bacterial growth, with 90 samples testing negative. Staphylococcus aureus was the predominant isolate, recovered from 62 acute, 40 chronic, and 24 subclinical mastitis cases, followed by Escherichia coli (66 isolates) and Klebsiella species (18 isolates). These results concur with al. (2007)Paulin-Curlee et Bertolami et al. (2015), who emphasized the role of S. aureus as a major mastitis pathogen. Similarly, the presence of coliform bacteria such as E. coli and Klebsiella spp. aligns with Goulart et al. (2022), highlighting their environmental ubiquity and pathogenic potential.

Age was a significant risk factor in this study. Cows older than seven years demonstrated the highest prevalence of mastitis, with 36% acute, 34.4% chronic, and 25% subclinical infections. Middleaged cows (5–7 years) had a lower chronic mastitis prevalence but a higher subclinical rate (55%). Younger cows (2–5 years) showed comparatively lower incidence rates. These findings are supported by Dego and Tareke (2003) and Abera et al. (2013), who reported increased mastitis risk with advancing age due to factors like teat canal dilation and immune senescence.

The lactation stage also influenced mastitis occurrence. Early lactation (0–2 months postpartum) recorded the highest incidence (31%), followed by midlactation (22%), late lactation (13%), and the dry period (4%). The elevated susceptibility during early lactation may be attributed to physiological stress and immunosuppression around parturition, as noted by Santos et al. (2004) and Mungube et al. (2004).

Breed differences were observed with mixed breeds showing a 43% mastitis incidence, Balady breeds 15%, and pure breeds 12%. This contrasts with findings by Curone et al. (2018), who reported lower clinical mastitis in some native breeds, likely due to genetic resistance. The higher susceptibility of high-yielding breeds, such as Holstein-Friesians, compared to low-producing breeds like Jersey cattle has been documented by Shaheen et al. (2015) and Washburn et al. (2002).

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing revealed significant resistance among isolates. Among 126 S. aureus strains, high resistance was observed penicillin G (66.6%),sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (57.1%), (53.9%),gentamicin chloramphenicol (51.5%).Highest sensitivity was noted against spiramycin (59.5%). norfloxacin (80.1%). amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (57.1%), and ciprofloxacin (53.9%). These findings are consistent with Gundogan et al. (2014).

Among 66 E. coli isolates, resistance rates were highest for penicillin G (86.3%), sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (57.5%), and tetracycline (56.1%), while (84.8%),ciprofloxacin norfloxacin (80.3%),and spiramycin (69.6%)showed the highest sensitivity. Similar have reported patterns been Gundogan et al. (2014) and Bag et al. (2021), with variable resistance rates due to antimicrobial usage patterns.

For 18 *Klebsiella* isolates, resistance was high against amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (77.7%), penicillin G (72.2%), spiramycin (72.2%), and tetracycline (66.6%), while sensitivity was notable for sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim

(72.2%), gentamicin (61.1%), and norfloxacin (66.6%). These results align with Osman et al. (2014) and Wu et al. (2022), though discrepancies with other studies (Enferad & Mahdavi, 2020) suggest methodological differences in susceptibility testing may influence resistance profiles (Schwarz et al., 2010).

This study's findings emphasize the need for integrated mastitis control encompassing programs improved hygiene, regular screening (including strategic antimicrobial CMT), guided by susceptibility testing, and genetic improvement strategies. Future research should focus on molecular characterization of pathogens understand virulence and resistance alongside intervention mechanisms, trials assessing management practices' effectiveness. Additionally, educating farmers on mastitis prevention and rational antibiotic use is critical to reduce disease incidence and AMR risks.

### **CONCLUSION**

Mastitis remains one of the most significant diseases causing substantial economic losses in Egypt's dairy industry. This study revealed a high prevalence of multidrug-resistant strains of Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, and Klebsiella species isolated from mastitic cows in El-Menoufia Governorate, particularly against commonly used antibiotics. These findings underscore the urgent need to regulate antibiotic use and enhance veterinarians and awareness among farmers regarding antimicrobial resistance risks. To improve treatment outcomes and mitigate resistance antibiotic therapy development, should mastitis guided be by antimicrobial susceptibility testing prior to administration.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:**

Unable to apply.

### STATEMENT REGARDING FINANCIAL SUPPORT

There was no financial backing for this research.

### DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors state that they have no conflicts of interest.

### ETHICAL APPROVAL

This study adheres to the ethical guidelines established by the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, El-Sadat city University, Egypt.

### **6-REFERENCES:**

Abed, A. H., Menshawy, A. M., Zeinhom, M. M., Hossain, D., Khalifa, E., Wareth, G., & Awad, M. F. (2021). Subclinical mastitis in selected bovine dairy herds in North Upper Egypt: Assessment of prevalence, causative bacterial pathogens, antimicrobial and virulence-associated resistance genes. Microorganisms, 9(6), 1175. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9 061175

Argaw, A. (2016). Review on epidemiology of clinical and subclinical mastitis on dairy cows. *Food Science and Quality Management*, 52(6), 56–65.

Ashraf, A., & Imran, M. (2020). Causes, types, etiological agents, prevalence, diagnosis, treatment, prevention, effects on human health and future aspects of bovine mastitis. *Animal Health Research Reviews*, 21(1), 36–49.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S146625231900 0094

Bag, M. A. S., Khan, M. S. R., Sami, M. D. H., Begum, F., Islam, M. S., Rahman, M. M., Rahman, M. T., & Hassan, J. Virulence determinants and (2021).antimicrobial resistance of E. coli isolated from bovine clinical mastitis in selected dairy some farms Bangladesh. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences, 28, 6317-6323. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.06.09

Berry, E. A., & Hillerton, J. E. (2007). Effect of an intramammary teat seal and dry cow antibiotic in relation to dry period length on postpartum mastitis. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 90(2), 760–765. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(07)71560-6

Bonardi, S., Cabassi, C. S., Fiaccadori, E., Cavirani, S., Parisi, A., Bacci, C., Lamperti, L., Rega, M., Conter, M., Marra, F., Crippa, C., Gambi, L., Spadini, C., Lannarelli, M., Paladini, C., Filippin, N., & Pasquali, F. (2023). Detection of carbapenemase- and ESBLproducing Klebsiella pneumoniae from bovine bulk milk and comparison with isolates clinical human in Italy. Journal International of Food 110049. Microbiology, 387. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.202 2.110049

Bortolami, A., Fiore, E., Gianesella, M., Corrò, M., Catania, S., & Morgante, M. (2015). Evaluation of the udder health status in subclinical mastitis affected dairy cows through bacteriological culture, somatic cell count and thermographic imaging. *Polish Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, 18(4), 799–805.

Cadona, J. S., Hernandez, L. B., Lorenzo, R., Bottini, E., Bustamante, A. V., & Sanso, A. M. (2021). Draft genome sequence of *Streptococcus agalactiae* TA B490, a multidrugresistant strain isolated from bovine mastitis in Argentina. *Microbiology Resource* Announcements, 10(5). https://doi.org/10.1128/mra.01429-20

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. (2024). *Performance standards for antimicrobial disk and dilution susceptibility tests for bacteria isolated from animals* (CLSI Standard VET01, 6th ed.). Wayne, PA: CLSI.

Curone, G., Filipe, J., Cremonesi, P., Trevisi, E., Amadori, M., Pollera, C., & Riva, F. (2018). What we have lost: Mastitis resistance in Holstein Friesians and in a local cattle breed. *Research in Veterinary Science*, 116, 88–98.

Dego, O., & Tareke, F. (2003). Bovine mastitis: Prevalence and risk factors in Ethiopia. *Revue Scientifique et Technique*, 22(3), 733–740.

Enferad, E., & Mahdavi, S. (2020). Antibiotic resistance pattern and frequency of some beta-lactamase genes in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from raw milk samples in Iran. *Journal of the Hellenic Veterinary Medical Society*, 71(4), 2455–2462.

Goulart, D. B., & Mellata, M. (2022). *Escherichia coli* mastitis in dairy cattle: Etiology, diagnosis, and treatment challenges. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 13, 928346. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.928346

Gundogan, N., & Avci, E. (2014). Occurrence and antibiotic resistance of *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*,

and *Bacillus cereus* in raw milk and dairy products in Turkey. *International Journal of Dairy Technology*, 67(4), 562–569.

Hagnestam, C., Emanuelson, U., & Berglund, B. (2007). Yield losses associated with clinical mastitis occurring in different weeks of lactation. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 90(5), 2260–2270.

Hisira, V., Zahumenska, J., Kadasi, M., Klein, R., Mudron, P., & Zigo, F. (2025). Claw diseases are the dominant cause of lameness in dairy cows and a risk factor for mastitis. *Veterinární Medicína*, 70(2), 35.

Ibrahim, N. (2017). Review on mastitis and its economic effect. *Canadian Journal of Scientific Research*, 6(1), 13–22.

Kathiriya, J., Kabaria, B., Saradava, & Sanepara. (2014). Prevalence of subclinical mastitis in dairy cows in Rajkot district of Gujarat. *International Journal of Science and Nature*, 2(5), 35–43.

Klaas, I. C., & Zadoks, R. N. (2018). An update on environmental mastitis: Challenging perceptions. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 65(1), 166–185. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12704

Koriem, A. M., & Nady, E. A. E. (2024). Molecular detection of some antibiotic resistance genes of *Escherichia coli* isolated from bovine subclinical mastitis. *Journal of Advanced Veterinary Research*, 14(6), 991–995.

Lechero, E. (2010). Questions about milk quality: What is the difference between clinical and subclinical mastitis. Retrieved from

http://ansci.illinois.edu/static/ansc438/M astitis/syndromes.html

Malinowski, E., & Gajewski, Z. (2010). Mastitis and fertility disorders in cows. *Polish Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, 13, 555–560.

Markus, A., Ylva, P., Benon, M., & Babazi, K. (2013). Prevalence of subclinical mastitis in dairy farms in urban and peri-urban areas of Kampala, Uganda. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 45(6).

Ndahetuye, J. B., Persson, Y., Nyman, A. K., Tukei, M., Ongol, M. P., & Båge, R. (2019). Prevalence of subclinical mastitis in dairy herds in peri-urban areas of Kigali in Rwanda. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 51(7), 2037–2044.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-019-01905-2

Osman, K. M., Hassan, H. M., Orabi, A., & Abdelhafez, A. S. T. (2014). Phenotypic, antimicrobial susceptibility profile and virulence factors of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from buffalo and cow mastitic milk. *Pathogens and Global Health, 108*(4), 191–199.

Paulin-Curlee, G. G., Singer, R. S., & Sreevatsan, S. (2007). Genetic diversity of mastitis-associated *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 90(8), 3681–3689. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2006-776

Peng, J., Lu, Q., Liu, X., Deng, Y., Shang, T., Yuan, L., Zhang, H., & Zeng, Q. (2022). Antibacterial effect of synthetic ultra-short lipopeptide on *Streptococcus agalactiae* and its activity on bacterial mastitis in mice.

Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 601, 153–159.

Quinn, P. J., Carter, M. E., Markey, B. K., & Carter, G. R. (2002). *Clinical veterinary microbiology*. Harcourt Publishers.

Radostitis, O. M., Gay, C. C., Hinchcliff, K. W., & Constable, P. D. (2007). *Veterinary Medicine* (10th ed.). Saunders Elsevier.

Santos, J. E. P., Cerri, R. L. A., Ballou, M. A., Higginbotham, G. E., & Kirk, J. H. (2004). Effect of timing of first clinical mastitis occurrence on lactational and reproductive performance of Holstein dairy cows. *Animal Reproduction Science*, 80(1-2), 31–45.

Sayed, M., & Rady, A. A. (2008). Acute clinical mastitic animals in villages of Assiut Governance: Diagnosis and treatment. *Veterinary World*, *1*(9), 261.

Schalm, O. W., Carroll, E. J., & Jain, N. C. (1971). *Bovine mastitis*. Lea and Febiger.

Schwarz, S., Diesterbeck, U. S., Failing, K., König, S., Brügemann, K., & Zschock, M. (2010). Somatic cell counts and bacteriological status in quarter foremilk samples of cows in Hesse, Germany: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *93*(12), 5716–5728.

Shaheen, M., Tantary, H., & Nabi, S. A. (2016). Treatise on bovine mastitis: Disease and disease economics, etiological basis, risk factors, impact on human health, therapeutic management, prevention, and control strategy. *Advances in Dairy Research*, 4, 1. https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-888X.1000150

Sharun, K., Dhama, K., Tiwari, R., Gugjoo, M. B., Iqbal, M., Patel, S. K., ... Chaicumpa, W. (2021). Advances in therapeutic and managemental approaches of bovine mastitis: A comprehensive review. *Veterinary Ouarterly*, *41*(1), 107–136.

Sweeney, M. T., Gunnett, L., Kumar, D. M., Lunt, B. L., Moulin, V., Barrett, M., & Machin, C. (2024). Antimicrobial susceptibility of mastitis pathogens isolated from North American dairy cattle, 2011–2022. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 291, 110015.

Washburn, S. P., White, S. L., Green, J. T., Jr., & Benson, G. A. (2002). Reproduction, mastitis, and body condition of seasonally calved Holstein and Jersey cows in confinement or pasture systems. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 85(1), 105–111. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(02)74058-7

Wu, X., Liu, J., Feng, J., Shabbir, M. A. B., Feng, Y., Guo, R., Zhou, M., Hou, S., Wang, G., Hao, H., Cheng, G., & Wang, Y. (2022). Epidemiology, environmental risks, virulence, and resistance determinants of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* from dairy cows in Hubei, China. *Frontiers in Microbiology, 13*, 858799.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.8587

Zerihun, T., Aya, T., & Bayecha, R. (2013). Study on prevalence of bacterial pathogens and associated risk factors of bovine mastitis in small holder dairy farms in and around Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *The Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*, 23(1), 50–55.